

WILLIAM QUICK
DIPIPPO V. COUNTY OF PUTNAM

July 30, 2019

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
ANTHONY DiPIPPO,

Plaintiff,

Case Number
17-cv-7948 (NSR)

v.

COUNTY OF PUTNAM; Putnam County
Sheriff's Department Sheriff ROBERT
THOUBBORON in his individual capacity;
Putnam County Sheriff's Department
Investigators DAN STEPHENS, PATRICK
CASTALDO, BILL QUICK, and Putnam
County Sheriff's Department Officer
VICTOR NESTOR, in their individual
capacities,

Defendants.

-----X
July 30, 2019
10:08 a.m.

Videotaped Deposition of Defendant,
WILLIAM QUICK, taken by Plaintiff, pursuant to
Notice, held at the offices of Neufeld Scheck
& Brustin, LLP, 99 Hudson Street, Eighth
Floor, New York, New York 10013, before
CHARISSE ROMEO, a Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
State of New York.

WILLIAM QUICK
DIPIPPO V. COUNTY OF PUTNAM

July 30, 2019

2

A P P E A R A N C E S:

NEUFELD SCHECK & BRUSTIN, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

99 Hudson Street, Eighth Floor

New York, New York 10013

BY: NICK BRUSTIN, ESQ.

RICK SAWYER, ESQ.

212-965-9081

PORTALE RANDAZZO LLP

Attorneys for Defendants

245 Main Street

White Plains, New York 10601

BY: JAMES A. RANDAZZO, ESQ.

DREW SUMNER, ESQ.

914-359-2400

jrandazzo@portalerandazzo.com

ALSO PRESENT:

NIKOLAS MANITARAS - Videographer

ANTHONY DiPIPPO

CLAIRE SHIMBERG - Legal Intern

TY PARKS - Paralegal

WILLIAM QUICK
DIPIPPO V. COUNTY OF PUTNAM

July 30, 2019
127

1 W. Quick
2 case prior to Mr. DiPippo's arrest
3 in July of 1996?

4 A. I don't know if the
5 assistant district attorney was
6 there. I know that the district
7 attorney was there prior to Mr.
8 Krivak's arrest.

9 Q. Same day?

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. Do you remember if you met
12 with the district attorney in any
13 regard prior to their arrest?

14 A. Yes, it was a sit-down with
15 the sheriff, Harold Turner,
16 Castaldo, and myself.

17 Q. Was Stephens present?

18 A. I don't know if he was
19 present or not.

20 Q. Maybe, maybe not?

21 A. He might have been, he
22 might not have been. There were
23 other people that were in the
24 conference and then left, in and
25 out.

WILLIAM QUICK
DIPIPPO V. COUNTY OF PUTNAM

July 30, 2019
128

1 W. Quick

2 Q. All right. And that was a
3 long meeting, a couple of hours?

4 A. Yeah, I would say it was
5 probably two hours.

6 Q. And in that meeting it was
7 your understanding that you were
8 obligated to provide the DA all of
9 the information that was relevant to
10 whether or not Anthony DiPippo and
11 Andy Krivak should be arrested,
12 correct?

13 A. That meeting had to do with
14 the district attorney wanting to run
15 the investigation.

16 Q. All right.

17 A. And he was told --

18 Q. He couldn't?

19 A. -- he couldn't run the
20 investigation.

21 Q. But you certainly
22 understood it was your obligation
23 every time you met with the DA to
24 provide them with all relevant
25 information that related to guilt or